UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,532	06/25/2003	Michael Joseph Pizzo	13768.402	4133
*****	VORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT EXAMINER			
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/603,532	PIZZO ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NEVEEN ABEL JALIL	2165					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Mr. Michael B. Dodd (Attorney of Record).	(3)						
(2) <u>NEVEEN ABEL JALIL</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claim 1 and 60</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: cited prior art made of record.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatively courteously discussed the claimed invention and presented clarification to claim 1 by pointing to specification paragraph 90 that the cache dependency rules are based on what was executed to generate actual content. While claim 60 is directed to invalidating a cache by blocking queries until version updates are made. The representative will be filing the amendment to the office shortly. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS							
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRITED INTERPRITED INTO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRITED INTO THE INTO THE INTO THE INTO THE INTO THE INTO							
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/							